GOD AND EVOLUTION

Charge That American Teachers of Darwinism "Make the Bible a Scrap of Paper"

By WILLIAM JENNINGS BRYAN

In the summer of 1908, Bryan addressed a conference of teachers in Kansas City, Missouri. He denounced the teaching of Darwinism in schools as a violation of the Bible. Bryan was a prominent figure in the Anti-Saloon League and later became a candidate for the presidency. His speech at the conference was a response to the growing influence of Darwinism in American education.

Bryan's critique of Darwinism was based on the belief that the Bible was the definitive source of knowledge and that evolution contradicted its teachings. He argued that the Bible should be the guide for education in schools and that teachers should not be allowed to teach evolution.

Bryan was also critical of the modernist movement in the Church, which he believed was compromising the truth of the Bible. He argued that the Church should adhere to the literal interpretation of the Bible and resist any attempts to modify its teachings.

In conclusion, Bryan's speech at the conference was a call to action for those who believed in the importance of the Bible as the foundation of education and society. His words were a reflection of the deep divisions in American society during the early 20th century, and his legacy continues to be a source of debate and discussion today.
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Jehovah is a state of mind and that there are only two books of literary value in the Bible. Another professor in that university tells students that no thinking man can believe in God or in the Bible. A teacher in the University of Wisconsin tells his students that the Bible is a collection of myths. Another State university professor diverts a dozen young men from the ministry and the President of a prominent State university tells his students in a lecture on religion to throw away religion if it does not harmonize with the teaching of biology, psychology, etc.

The effects of Darwinism are seen in the vulgar men of prominent denominations who regard the virgin birth of Christ as an invention of the ages. The Presbyterians, after the American Civil War, were told by God that paganism was the natural attitude of all people. Evolution naturally leads to paganism and, if continued, eventually to atheism. Those who teach Darwinism are undermining the faith of Christendom; they are asking questions about the Bible as an authoritative source of truth; they are teaching materialism which runs the life of the young of all religions. If evolution does not harmonize with the teaching of biology, psychology, etc., it must be rejected.

Christianity does not oppose personal freedom. It holds the right to self-discipline and to make one's own decision. It does not question the right of any person to be agnostic or infidel. It is important to be free and to be able to make one's own decisions. This is true in every case. The fact that the Bible is a book that is read by many people does not mean that it must be read in a particular way. It is important to be able to read the Bible as a book and to be able to make one's own decisions about it.

Our opponents are not fair. When we find fault with the doctrine of the Bible, they talk about superstition and dogmatism and ask whether we shall exclude religion and return to the dark ages. Their attitude is a reaction against weakness. We do not deny the existence of any power of darkness. We do not say that all religions are equal, that the Bible is the only book that can be trusted, or that the Pope is the only person who can interpret the Bible. We are not against religion, we are against unorthodox religious beliefs.

We must stand upon our own two "In God We Trust." Our motto is "In God We Trust:" to administer in witness of an oath in which God's name is used, our President takes the oath of office upon the Bible, as it was, in the Constitution, to suggest that public trustees should not be employed for the purposes of unifying the nation's God. When the President of the United States reads the Bible, he is not reading the Bible as a book of instruction, but as a symbol of the unity of the nation. He is not using the Bible as a book of instruction, but as a symbol of the unity of the nation. He is not using the Bible as a book of instruction, but as a symbol of the unity of the nation.

The word "In God We Trust" is a symbol of the unity of the nation. It is a symbol of the unity of the nation. It is a symbol of the unity of the nation. It is a symbol of the unity of the nation.